

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/850,985	(05/08/2001	Wen Pao Wu	47097-01059	3481
30223	7590	12/26/2002			
		HRIST, P.C.	EXAMINER		
225 WEST WASHINGTON SUITE 2600				NOLAN, SANDRA M	
CHICAGO	, IL 60606	5		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1772	a
				DATE MAILED: 12/26/2002	7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				H\2				
4		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
.		09/850,985	WU ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit					
		Sandra M. Nolan	1772					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sh et with the c rrespondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 (October 2002						
2a)⊠	·	is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims							
,	Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
,	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
• •	ion Papers	_						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner:								
10)								
11)	Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
,—	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
•	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		, , , , ,					
,	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
 a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 								
Attachmer		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,						
2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/850,985

Art Unit: 1772

DETAILED ACTION

Claims

1. Pursuant to entry of the amendment in the response dated 17 October 2002 (Paper No. 7), claims 1-22 are pending.

Rejection Withdrawn

2. Both 35 USC 112 rejections of claims 14 and 19 for reciting "impact copolymer polypropylene", as recited in section 9 of the 02 July 2002 Office Action (Paper No. 5) are withdrawn in view of applicants' arguments and citations in Paper No. 7.

Rejection Maintained

3. The 35 USC 103 rejection of claims 1-22 as unpatentable over Akao (US 5,225,466) in view of JP 61032743A (abstract), as stated in section 12 of Paper No: 5, is maintained for reasons of record.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed in Paper No. 7 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The arguments presented in Paper No. 7 will be responded to in the order in which they were presented.

On page 4 of Paper No. 7, applicants argue that Akao's disclosure of combinations of calcium carbonate and talc fillers at col. 10, lines 13-18 is not suggestive of the claims because it does not point to the use of high- and low aspect ratio fillers and the amounts recited in claim 1.

Application/Control Number: 09/850,985

Art Unit: 1772

However, the Japanese abstract teaches talcs having the high aspect ratios' claimed and the low aspect ratios are deemed conventional.

On pages 5-7, applicants argue that the declaration of inventor Wu demonstrates the superior physical properties that are attained when the invention recited in claim 1 is used.

However, the claims are not commensurate in scope with the showing in the declaration. The declaration shows compositions—designated Examples 10 through 18--containing propylene homopolymers, 37.8 to 62.0% filler and talc/CaCO₃ combinations having HAR/LAR rations of 25/75 to 50/50. If the claims were limited to such compositions, the showing would be more persuasive re: the patentability of the claims.

Final Rejection

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1772

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to the Examiner, Sandra M. Nolan, whose telephone number is 703/308-9545. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday, from 6:30 am to 4:00 pm, Eastern Time.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, her supervisor, Harold Pyon, can be reached at 703/308-4251. The general fax number for the art unit is 703/305-5436. The fax number for after final communications is 703/872-9310. The receptionist answers 703/308-0661.

S. M. Nolan

Patent Examiner

S.M. Nola.

Technology Center 1700

SMN/smn 09850985(9) December 21, 2002